

1 **Douglas R. Hart, SBN 115673**  
2 **dhart@sidley.com**  
3 **Jennifer B. Zargarof, SBN 204382**  
4 **jzargarof@sidley.com**  
5 **Francis S. Lam, SBN 279076**  
6 **flam@sidley.com**  
7 **SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP**  
8 **555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000**  
9 **Los Angeles, California 90013**  
10 **Telephone: (213) 896-6000**  
11 **Facsimile: (213) 896-6600**

E-FILED 5/11/15  
JS-6

7 **Attorneys for Defendants**

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 LOS ANGELES DIVISION

11 CHANEL LEMAR, as an individual and  
12 on behalf of all others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 vs.

15 CVS Pharmacy, Inc., a Rhode Island  
16 corporation; Garfield Beach CVS,  
L.L.C., a California Corporation; and  
DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

17 Defendants.

Case No. 2:14-cv-01698-PSG-CW

Assigned to Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez

~~[PROPOSED]~~ FINAL JUDGMENT  
UNDER FED. R. CIV. PROC. 54

AWARD OF COSTS IN FAVOR OF  
DEFENDANTS

1 Defendants CVS Pharmacy, Inc. and Garfield Beach CVS, L.L.C. (collectively,  
2 “Defendants”) filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on February 23, 2015 on  
3 Plaintiff Chanel LeMar’s First Amended Complaint. **Dkt. #34.** The Court found the  
4 matter appropriate for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b).  
5 After considering the papers submitted by the Parties, the Court issued an order  
6 granting Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment. **Dkt. #45.** Therefore,

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 8 1. This action be, and it hereby is, dismissed in its entirety against  
9 Defendant CVS Pharmacy, Inc. with prejudice;
- 10 2. This action be, and it hereby is, dismissed in its entirety against  
11 Defendant Garfield Beach CVS, L.L.C. with prejudice;
- 12 3. Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Defendant CVS Pharmacy, Inc.  
13 and Defendant Garfield Beach CVS, L.L.C. and against Plaintiff Chanel LeMar  
14 as to all claims alleged in the First Amended Complaint filed herein; and
- 15 4. Defendants, as the prevailing parties, are entitled to their costs under Fed.  
16 R. Civ. P. 54(d) and Local Rule 54. Within 14 days after entry of judgment,  
17 Defendants, as the prevailing parties, shall file and serve a completed  
18 “Application to the Clerk to Tax Costs” in compliance Local Rules 5-3 and 5-  
19 4.1.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: 5/11/15  
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23

**PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ**  
\_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez  
United States District Judge